

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,833	07/01/2003	Matthew F. Hogge	B03-13	3045
40990	7590 08/01/2005		EXAM	INER
	T COMPANY		GORDON,	RAEANN
333 BRIDG P. O. BOX 9	- · -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FAIRHAVE	AIRHAVEN, MA 02719		3711	
			DATE MAIL ED 00/01/200	-

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\boldsymbol{\omega}$		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/611,833	HOGGE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Raeann Gorden	3711		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re- oly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 J	<u>luly 2005</u> .			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 4,7-9,16-21,31 and 34-70 is/are pen	ding in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) 7,18,19,31 and 66-70 is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,7,34,58 and 59</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>8,9,16,17,20,21,35-57 and 62-64</u> is/a	<u>-</u>			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) \square objected to b	y the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, -		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap prity documents have been r	pplication No		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
	·			
(ttachmont/c)				
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	Immary (PTO-413)		
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s).	/Mail Date		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5)	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Palent and Trademark Office				

•	 		 	

4) [Interview Summary (PTO-413
	Paper No(s\/Mail Date

DETAILED ACTION

The finality of the last office action is withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 4, 7, 34, 58 and 59 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,632,147. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the '147 patent and present invention claim golf balls comprising a core, an intermediate layer with a moisture transmission rate lower than the cover and comprising flaked metal micro particles.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18, 19, 31, and 66-70 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,833 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 8-9, 16, 17, 20, 21, 35-57, and 62-64 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs, Fri 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg July 29, 2005

> RAEANN GORDEN PRIMARY EXAMINER